Before theFEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of 2002 Biennial Regulatory Review - Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking,
MM Docket No. 02-277, (rel. Sept. 23, 2002)

To: The Secretary, FCC Commisioners, and Chief, Media Bureau

The following are my comments on Docket No. 02-277, the Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe the Commossion should retain and expand current regulations limiting media ownership.

I have not been impressed that the studies commissioned by the Commission are accurate.

It is helpful to compare worldviews represented by licensees. Perhaps 10-20% of licensees represent a Christian or Jewish world view althought these world views reflect the preference of a majority of listeners throughout the nation. The current monopoly in station ownership unfairly promotes a minority secular world view.

The current monopolies also inflate the cost of creating new stations and buying existing stations so that potential licensees associated with non-secular world views cannot afford to get into broadcasting. The fact that the spectrum allocated to broadcasting is limited makes this problem even worse.

The ability and desire of ownership monopolies to inhibit other voices is very strongly revealed in the Low Power FM (LPFM) proceedings. Although the Commission formally found that LPFM stations could be located on 3rd adjacent channels, ownership monopolies demonstrated that they had more power than the Commission and had Congress unfairly ban such voices.

The public interest will best be served by preserving and expanding the media ownership rules in question in this proceeding.

In addition, I support the FCC's plan to hold a public hearing on this matter in Richmond, VA in February 2003. I will not be able to attend the hearing because I cannot afford the travel. I strongly encourage

the Commission to hold similar hearings in all parts of the country, especially in the St. Louis area (my location), and solicit the widest possible participation from the public.

## The

rarified, lawyerly atmosphere of an FCC rulemaking is not an appropriate decision-making venue when questions as profound as the freedom of our media are at stake. I encourage the Commissioners to come out and meet some of the people who do not have a financial interest in this issue, but a social interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is important that the Commission take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Thank you,

Dr. Ken Bowles